

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

17:M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
AFFEICATION NO.	FILING DATE	TRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.
10/764,615	01/26/2004	Rajiv K. Bhateja	59935.us	8926
7590 09/26/2006 LUEDEKA NEELY & GRAHAM P.C			EXAMINER	
			CHERRY, STEPHEN J	
P.BOX 1871 KNOXVILLE TENNESSE, TN 37901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TEIWEBOD, I	37701		2863	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/764,615	BHATEJA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Cherry	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Jules</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-14 and 17-63 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14,18-26,31-35,37- 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5, 17, 27-30, 36, 57-60 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>-56 and 61-63</u> is/are withdrawn fro I.	om consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,615

Art Unit: 2863

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6-22-2006 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's traverse of the previous requirement for restriction in the reply filed on 6-22-2006 is acknowledged. In view of amendments to claims and applicants arguments, the following requirement for restriction will apply.

Amended claims 6-14, and 18-26, and 31-35 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed and examined on the merits in a first office action for the following reasons:

Claims 6-14, and 18-35, as amended or newly submitted, 12-30-2005, and claims 5 and 17, as presented on 1-26-2004 and addressed in the office action dated 10-7-2005 are related as described below, thus restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,615

Art Unit: 2863

Claims 5, 17, as amended 6-22-2006, and 36, 57-60 as newly presented 6-22-2006, and 27-30 as added 12-30-2005, drawn to system and method for monitoring process with scaling and linear variable differential transformer input, and examined in the office action dated 10-7-2005, classified in class 702, subclass 104.

Page 3

- II. Claims 6-14 and 33-35, as amended 12-30-2005, and 37-47, 63, as newly claimed 6-22-2006, drawn to apparatus for monitoring production process with identification of type of sensor module, classified in class 702, subclass 122.
- III. Claims 18-26, 31-32, as newly presented 12-30-2005, and 48-56, 61-62 as newly presented 6-22-2006, drawn to method and apparatus for multiple ranges or visual representations of data, classified in class 702, subclass 188.

Inventions I and (II-III) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as monitoring process with scaling and linear variable differential transformer input without identifying the type of sensor or splitting the signal. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 6-14, 18-26, 31-35, 37-56, 61-63 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 818.02(a) and 821.03.

# Claim Objections

Applicant is advised that should claims 27-30 be found allowable, claims 57-60 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 28 and 58 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 28 and 58 recite entering the maximum travel in units of voltage; however, voltage is a measure of electrical potential, not distance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27, 29-30, 36, 57, and 59-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Acces I/O products Model LVT-8 User Manual ("Acces").

Regarding claims 27 and 57, Acess discloses a method of monitoring a production process using a hardware monitoring apparatus having one or more sensors selected from the group consisting of a dc sensor, a differential sensor, a current sensor and a position sensor, the method comprising:

- (a) entering scale information for the one or more of the sensors (Acces page5-2, line 20, jumper);
- (b) setting a gain to an initial value for the one or more of the sensors (Acces, page 3-4, line 12);

Application/Control Number: 10/764,615

Art Unit: 2863

- (c) setting an offset to an initial value for the one or more of the sensors (Access, page 5-1, line 17, initial offset pot position);
- (d) recording a minimum voltage produced by the position sensor as a complete range of movement of the position sensor is traversed (Access, page 5-1, line 18);
- (e) recording a maximum voltage produced by the position sensor as the complete range of movement of the position sensor is traversed (Acces, page 5-2, line 25);
- (f) identifying a linear region of operation of the position sensor (Acces page 3-4, line 12);
- (g) adjusting the offset while the position sensor is operating within the linear region (Acces, page 5-1, line 16); and
- (h) adjusting the gain while the position sensor is operating at a maximum desired position within the complete range of movement (Acces, page 5-2, line 15).

Regarding claims 29 and 59, and in view of the rejection of claims 27 and 57 above, Acces discloses the method of claim 27 and 57 wherein: step (c) further comprises setting the offset to zero (Acces, page 5-1, line 24); and step (b) further comprises setting the gain so that the maximum voltage produced by each of the sensors is substantially equivalent to a known reference value (Acces, page 5-2, line 18).

Regarding claims 30 and 60, and in view of the rejection of claims 27 and 57 above, Acces discloses the method of claim 27 and 57 wherein the position sensor is

selected from the group consisting of a slide encoder and a linear variable differential transformer (Acces, page 5-1, line 5).

Regarding claims 36, Acess discloses a method of monitoring a production process using a hardware monitoring device which receives input from a linear variable differential transformer, the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) accepting scale information for the linear variable differential transformer input (Acces, page 3-4, line 12);
- (b) setting a gain of the hardware monitoring device to an initial value (Acces, page 3-4, line 12);
- (c) setting an offset of the hardware monitoring device to an initial value (Access, page 5-1, line 17, initial offset pot position);
- (d) recording a minimum voltage produced as a complete range of movement of the linear variable differential transformer is traversed (Access, page 5-1, line 18);
- (e) recording a maximum voltage produced as the complete range of movement of the linear variable differential transformer is traversed (Acces, page 5-2, line 25);
- (f) identifying a linear region of operation of the linear variable differential transformer (Acces page 3-4, line 12);
- (g) adjusting the offset while the linear variable differential transformer is operating within the linear region (Acces, page 5-1, line 16); and

Art Unit: 2863

(h) adjusting the gain while the linear variable differential transformer is operating at a maximum desired position within the complete range of movement (Acces, page 5-2, line 15).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,248,248 to Adley in view of U.S. Patent 6,362,768 to Younis et al, and in view of U.S. Patent 5,470,218 to Hillman et al, and further in view of Acces I/O products Model LVT-8 User Manual ("Acces").

Regarding claim 5, Adley discloses an apparatus for monitoring a production process performed by a production machine, said apparatus comprising: one or more sensor modules, at least one of said one or more sensor modules accepting an input from a linear variable differential transformer, each of said one or more of sensor modules including a signal conditioning circuit for conditioning said input ('248, fig. 5 A-C, and col. 8, line 34); one or more module slots each adapted to receive one of said one or more sensor modules ('248, col. 10, line 7, Allen Bradley PLC controller inherently has slots for I/O, as shown in U.S. Patent 4,510,565 to Dummermuth, figure

1); acquiring a stream of data from the sensor module installed in selected one of said one or more module slots ('248, fig. 6 indicates stream of data and col. 13); processing the stream of data ('248, fig. 1, data stored in memory of 197); and a storage device in communication with said processing device, said storage device for storing said data for later recall ('248, fig. 1, memory of 197).

However, Adley does not explicitly disclose the details of an operator interface of data conversion and calibration.

Hillman discloses generating a visual presentation for the stream of data ('218, fig. 1, 71); a display device in communication with said processing device, said display device displaying said visual presentation in a human readable format ('218, fig. 1, 70); an input device in communication with said processing device, said input device accepting commands from a user thereby allowing the user to control said processing device ('218, fig. 1, 72).

Younis discloses an interface circuit in communication between said one or more module slots and said processing device, said interface circuit converting analog signals into digital signals and digital signals into analog signals ('768, fig. 1, 40 and 50); a gain control circuit in communication responsive to said processing device and in communication with said signal conditioning circuit in each of said one or more sensor modules, said gain control circuit amplifying the stream of data from the sensor module installed in selected ones of said module slots ('768, fig. 3, "gain"); an offset control circuit responsive to said processing device and in communication with said signal conditioning circuit in each of said one or more sensor modules, said offset control

Art Unit: 2863

circuit applying a dc voltage offset to the stream of data from the sensor module installed in selected ones of said one or more module slots ('768, fig. 3, "calibration"); a latch control circuit responsive to said processing device and in communication with said signal conditioning circuit in each of said one or more sensor modules, said latch control circuit holding values of the stream of data from the sensor module installed in selected ones of said one or more module slots ('768, col. 8, line 37);

However, Younis does not explicitly disclose procedure of calibrating the LVDT.

Acces discloses accepting scale information for the linear variable differential transformer input; setting a gain to an initial value (Acces, page 3-4, line 12); setting an offset to an initial value (Access, page 5-1, line 17, initial offset pot position); recording a minimum voltage produced as a complete range of movement of the linear variable differential transformer is traversed (Access, page 5-1, line 18); recording a maximum voltage produced as the complete range of movement of the linear variable differential transformer is traversed (Acces, page 5-2, line 25); identifying a linear region of operation of the linear variable differential transformer (Acces page 3-4, line 12); adjusting said offset while the linear variable differential transformer is operating within the linear region (Acces, page 5-1, line 16); and adjusting said gain while the linear variable differential transformer is operating at a maximum desired position within the complete range of movement (Acces, page 5-2, line 15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the operator interface of Hillman and the signal

conditioning of Younis with the invention of Adly to allow the operator a clear understanding of machine performance ('218, col. 2, line 16) and to allow the use of various signals with the same hardware ('768, col. 1, line 38), and further ecsuring accuracy in measurement through calibrated data.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,248,248 to Adley in view of U.S. Patent 6,362,768 to Younis et al, and in view of U.S. Patent 5,470,218 to Hillman et al, and further in view of Acces I/O products Model LVT-8 User Manual ("Acces").

Regarding claim 5, Adley discloses a method of monitoring a production process, said method comprising the steps of identifying one or more sensor modules installed in a hardware monitoring device, wherein at least one of the one or more sensor modules receives input from a linear variable differential transformer (248, fig. 5 A-C, and col. 8, line 34); acquiring data from the one or more sensor modules ('248, fig. 6 indicates stream of data and col. 13); processing the data acquired from the one or more sensor modules ('248, fig. 1, data stored in memory of 197).

However, Adley does not explicitly disclose the details of an operator interface of data conversion and calibration.

Hillman discloses generating a visual presentation from the data acquired from the one or more sensor modules ('218, fig. 1, 70).

Younis discloses an architecture for a computer system that has calibration capability.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,615 Page 12

Art Unit: 2863

However, Younis does not explicitly disclose procedure of calibrating the LVDT.

Acces discloses accepting scale information for the linear variable differential transformer input (Acces, page 3-4, line 12); setting a gain to an initial value (Acces, page 3-4, line 12); setting an offset to an initial value (Access, page 5-1, line 17, initial offset pot position); recording a minimum voltage produced as a complete range of movement of the linear variable differential transformer is traversed (Access, page 5-1, line 18); recording a maximum voltage produced as the complete range of movement of the linear variable differential transformer is traversed (Acces, page 5-2, line 25); identifying a linear region of operation of the linear variable differential transformer (Acces page 3-4, line 12); adjusting said offset while the linear variable differential transformer is operating within the linear region (Acces, page 5-1, line 16); and adjusting said gain while the linear variable differential transformer is operating at a maximum desired position within the complete range of movement (Acces, page 5-2, line 15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the operator interface of Hillman and the signal conditioning of Younis with the invention of Adly to allow the operator a clear understanding of machine performance ('218, col. 2, line 16) and to allow the use of various signals with the same hardware ('768, col. 1, line 38), and further ecsuring accuracy in measurement through calibrated data.

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/764,615 Page 13

Art Unit: 2863

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5, 17, 27-30, 36, 57-60 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Cherry whose telephone number is (571) 272-2272. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SJC

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800